



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/715,641

11/17/2000

Robert D. Haskins

ZIP00-01

7793

58406

7590

12/17/2007

BARRY W. CHAPIN, ESQ.

CHAPIN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW, LLC

WESTBOROUGH OFFICE PARK

1700 WEST PARK DRIVE

WESTBOROUGH, MA 01581

EXAMINER

DENNISON, JERRY B

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2143

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

12/17/2007

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

Application No.

09/715,641

Applicant(s)

HASKINS ET AL.

Examiner

J. Bret Dennison

Art Unit

2143

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) J. Bret Dennison.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Stan Hill.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 10 December 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 5.

Identification of prior art discussed: Barchi.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant provided interpretation to the Barch reference that the functionality is occurring at the receiving end rather than the sending end. Applicant's interpretation was made in reference to claim 5, and discussed incorporating the limitations of claim 5 into the independent claims. Examiner stated that upon receipt of the newly amended claims, consideration will be given regarding this interpretation.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required